Sanitized - Approved FOPUROTEASO POSTACE ADP75-0 14 APRIL 1965

New CIA Director A Good Choice?

FOIAb3b

BY FREDERIC W. COLLINS

Of The News-Tribune

Washington Bureau

CPYRGHT

sensible way in which to gre the appointment of William 1 Raborn as director of the Central Intelligence Agency to hang on to one's hat an hope for the best.

It is impossible to make mor than theoretical projections a to what kind of CIA director any new man may make. As matter of fact, it is practicall impossible to say with certaint whether a man has been a goo CIA director when he step down. Objective opinions are fev among insiders, and truly in formed opinions almost non existent among outsiders.

In principle, a CIA director should be as good or bad as President causes him to be. The Central intelligence agency is servant of the president. It is an extremely long extra arm, o complex mechanical structure, I is a highly-developed additiona lobe of the executive brain, o subtle and intricate sensory capability. Its motor functions are supposed to be auxiliary t those of the executive brain.

On the theoretical side, the pluses and minuses of the Raborn appointment appear to come, out at a net reading, justifying a hope for the best. One of the pluses is Raborn's demonstrated attainment science and technology. As naval officer in the 1950s (Raborn, 59, made vice admiral in 1960 and retired in 1963). He had a leading part in the developenent of the Polaris submarine missile system and distinguished himself concurrently by firs class administration of the pro gram and inspired zeal in get ting production. It is not always remembered that science an technology are among the mos important disciplines in world of intelligency today.

It is generally considered plus that Richard Helms has been named by President John

Helms, as deputy director for

plans under John A. McCone, now retiring as director of the CIA, has been perhaps the second most important man in the agency.

President Johnson evidently considers it a plus that Raborn, in addition to a superb competence and a splendid record as an executive and administrator, gets along well with people and especially with Congress. It is essential that Congress, or at least those key members directlv. concerned with CIA matters, trust the director. It is the director's obligation to make sure he is not made the captive of Congress as the consequence; of maintaining an amicable posture toward it.

On the minus side, some regrets are expressed that a "military man" has been named head of CIA. Even if that is a fair description of a retired admiral of independent habit, the objection can be no more than theoretical. There have been some good "military men" at the top of intelligence-Admiral-Roscoe Hillenkoetter and General Walter Bedell Smith, to name two. Reservations on this point do gain some additional weight because of potential. rivalry between the CIA and the blossoming Defense Intelligence Agency, But trouble is not guaranteed.

It is possible also to have some qualms about the party political considerations, which may have influenced the choice; of Raborn, who spoke for John-: son—and, rather bitingly, against Goldwater-in 1964. The functionings of the CIA should not be involved in party politics.

The most dangerous thing that can happen to the CIA is for it to gain immunity from checks and balances within the government. It is not the repository of all wisdom, ever. It needs to have a rein kept on it. Its best chance to make mistakes is when it is running to free. The responsibility for avoiding that rests with President Johnson, not with Raborn. If Johnson

Sanitized so Approved For Release on Wild Probably 85 - 0000 R000100080124-2 as a "good" director.

CPYRGHT